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I recently had the opportunity of examining a weaver bird sent to the Bombay Natural History Society by the Victoria Gardens, Bombay, where it had died in captivity in September 1949. Its provenance was unknown. The underparts of this specimen are yellow from chin to lower belly and it also has a yellowish wash on the head, thereby agreeing with the published description of Finn's Baya (Ploceus megarhynchus). It was sexed as a female on dissection.

An examination of the literature available indicates that much of the mystery and confusion that surrounds this species stems from the doubts cast upon O'Donel's records of Ploceus megarhynchus breeding in the Duars. In 1033, Whistler writing in "The Vernay Scientific Survey of the Eastern Ghats' (J.B.N.H.S., xxxvi, p. 882-883) observed that while Hume's type and co-type, 'two females or males in winter plumage', were large and could not be matched in a large series of philippinus and hurmanicus, the series of skins from the Duars in the British Museum as well as 'others given or loaned to me by Mr. H. V. O’Donel and Mr. Inglis belong beyond dispute to burmanicus. The series of skins presented by O'Donel to the Bombay Natural History Society can no longer be traced.'

In 1935 Sálim Ali visited Kaladoongi ca. 500 ft . (the type locality) in search of this species, but failed to find it. He however rescrutinised the literature and had a fresh search made in the Society's collections which now revealed four weaver birds collected by O'Donel in the Duars, one female in 1912 and three birds in 1925. These were sent to Whistler who identified them all as burnanicus including the 1912 female. Concerning the last mentioned specimen his report reads in part: 'the crown and nape and sides of the face are olive brown strongly washed with yellow and practically unstreaked, these parts contrasting with the rest of the upper plumage. The chin, throat, breast and flanks are largely canary yellow. In all other respects the bird agrees entirely with the rest of the series, and I have no doubt that it is the same form burmanicus. I have a female of Ploceus $p$. philippinus which has much yellow on it of a similar type and this evidently merely means that some particularly vigorous female assumes an incipient breeding plumage.' ${ }^{\text {t }}$

On the identity of these specimens O'Donel's records of $P$. megarhynchus breeding in the Duars, quoted by Stuart Baker in the Fauna and Nidification, were dismissed by Whistler as incorrect.

A fresh examination of the Society's collection now reveals 2 more weavers collected by O'Donel in 1912 on the same date and in the same

[^0]locality as the above $q$-(Nos. 3 and 5 on the accompanying list). Together, these specimens seem to form a series obtained from the same breeding colony. All the three birds were originally diagnosed as passerinus [=burmanicus $]$ as shown on the labels in Kinnear's handwriting. The male, however, has later been corrected on the label to megarhynchus in Stuart Baker's handwriting and over his initials. There seems to me no doubt that this specimen, as well as the other two, are in fact not burmanicus but megarhynchus (or an undescribed species?).

O'Donel's claim that his Duars breeding colony belonged to $P$. megarhynchus is supported by his description of the nests. 'The colony' he says, 'was in a vast area of grass more or less intermixed with scrub, and the nests were larger than those of manyar and were loosely and carelessly put together with no lining, and attached to the stems of grasses, sometimes several. The colony consisted of at least 20 pairs but was loosely scattered'.

Incidentally it may be mentioned that the Bhutan Duars are only a few hundred feet above sea-level and there is so far no evidence of this species breeding at 3,000-4,000 ft. as suggested in the Fauna.

Another possible source of doubt and error concerning the species megarhynchus has also suggested itself:

At a meeting of the Asiatic Society of Bengal in 1899 , Finn exhibited two living specimens of a weaver purchased from a Mr. W. Rutledge (of Entally), and described them as a new species under the name $P$. rutledgii. They were described as similar to the male of $P$. passerinus in breeding plumage but easily distinguishable by their larger size and entirely yellow undersurface. Finn added: 'both have the same coloration and are of about the same size, but as one has a stouter head and is brighter than the other and constantly sings, it is possible they are male and female, and that the sexes will prove to be similar in the species.' At a later date when the birds went into winter plumage: 'both specimens similar, but one was slightly duller than the other and also slenderer in make', Finn decided that they were in reality Hume's megarhynchus, and his rutledgii therefore became a synonym. One or both these birds were presumably sent to London, because specimen No. 23704, now in the Indian Museum marked $O^{x}$ and in undress plumage, bears the legend on its label 'Exhibited in 1899, purchased from W. Rutledge whose supplier obtained it from Naini Tal area'. Another skin (presumably the second of. Finn's original rutledgii) marked 'Indian Museum No. 24746. Zoological Society's Gardens London, reed. 18-6-1gor died r-7-1904, is no longer available in the Indian Museum. The information concerning it is from Salim Ali who obtained it on loan from the Zoological Survey of India in 1935 and in addition to examining and measuring it, also made a colour sketch of the skin.

Now, Stuart Baker in Nidification states that the only eggs known are those laid by Jamrach's birds in captivity on September 19th, rgor, which would be well within the life span of the above two specimens. Piecing the data together, I am inclined to hazard a guess that Rutledge's (Finn's) birds reached the Zoological Society,

|  | Date an | d Source | Origin | Sex |  | Wing | Tail | Tarsus | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1866 | A. O. Hume ... | Kaladoongi, below <br> Naini Tal <br> Type sp. in B.M. |  | 21.5 mm . | 77 mm . | 56 mm . | ... | Both in non-breeding plumage originally referred to as females, but this has been queried by |
|  | Dec. 1866 | A. O. Hume ... | Kaladoongi, below Naini Tal | ? | 22:mm. | 80.5 mm 。 | 59 mm . | ... | Whistler. |
|  | 25th May, 1912 | H. V. O'Donel B.N.H.S. Collection. | Cotype in B.M. Bhutan Duars. | ठ' | 23 mm . | 83 mm . | 59 mm . | 25 mm . | Marked megarhynchus by E.C.S.B. This is the brightest yellow of all. The yellow cap does not extend to the nape. Underparts all yellow except vent. Rump brown; yellow margin to one feather on back. |
|  | 25th May, 1912 | H. V. O'Donel B.N.H.S. Col. | Bhutan Duars | ¢ | 20 mm . | 74 mm . | 55 mm . | 23 mm . | Yellow wash on forehead and distinct pale yellow on chin, throat and breast. |
|  | 25th May: 1912 | H. V. O'Donel B.N.H.S. Col. | Bhutan Duars | 안 | 20 mm . | 76 mm . | 55 mm . | 24 mm . | Yellow wash on crown; less yellow on underparts than in 4 ; lower belly white. |
| Nos. 3, 4 and 5 are acknowledged in the Journal, xxi, p. 1360 as P. passerinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12th Sept., 1949 | Victoria Gardens. Bombay. Died in captivity | ? ? | 아 | 20 mm . | 73 mm . | 52.5 mm . | 23.5 mm . | Yellow wash on head; pale yellow from chin to lower belly; vent white. |
|  | $\text { 19th Aug., } 1936$ | Dr. S. C. Law's Aviary, Calcutta. Died in captivity | ? | $\sigma$ | 23 mm . | 80 mm . | missing | 24 mm . | Yellow cap as bright as in 3, and extending to nape; rump and all underparts including feathers on legs yellow. |
|  | 1st July, 1904 | Indian Museum sp. No. 24746 marked - Zoological Society's Gardens, London. Recd. 18-6-1901. Died : 1-7-1904'. | ? | 8 (?) | 20.5 mm . | 83.5 mm . | 56 mm . | 23 mm . | Upper tail coverts and all underparts yellow; upper plumage drab brown, probably one of two referred to by Finn and unless other specimens are discovered, *this or the next also responsible for egg laid on 19th Sept. 1901. |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Attention might here be drawn to Finn's note in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 1899, p. 251 'There are in the Indian Museum several specimens of $P$. atrigula ( $=p$. burmanicus) showing an admixture of yellow with the buff of the breast, some of them procured by myself in Calcutta alive and kept so for a time to see if they would develop more of the yellow colour which they did not.'

